

COMMUTATIVITY OF QUOTIENT RING USING MULTIPLICATIVE GENERALIZED DERIVATION

TASLEEM LAL¹, ZAHEER AHMAD¹, MUHAMMAD HAMZA¹, UMBER RANA¹
MUNTAZIM ABBAS HASHMI¹ and MUHAMMAD SHAHID MAHMOOD².

¹Department of Mathematics, Khwaja Fareed University of Engineering and Information Technology, Pakistan

²Department of Computer Science, Islamia University, Pakistan

Abstract

The current article proves the commutativity of quotient rings R_1/P_1 here R_1 is an arbitrary ring while P_1 denotes the prime ideal of R_1 . The strong bonding is established among the behavior of multiplicative generalized derivation, the structure of a rings class, and the left multiplier through the support of some identities containing prime ideals. Moreover, the quotient ring R_1/P_1 characteristics have been determined in case of different situations.

keyword: Generalized derivation, Prime Ideal, Commutativity.

1 Introduction:

In this article R_1 denotes arbitrary Ring with center $Z(R_1)$. Recall that P_1 as an ideal of R_1 is considered prime if $P_1 \neq R_1$, and for $x_1, y_1 \in R_1$, $x_1 R_1 y_1 \subseteq P_1$, implies that $x_1 \in P_1$ or $y_1 \in P_1$. Therefore, R_1 is termed a prime ring iff (0) is the prime ideal of R_1 . R_1 remain 2-torsion free if whenever $2x_1=0$, with $x_1 \in R_1$ implies $x_1=0$. Any pair of elements can be represented $x_1, y_1 \in R_1$, the commutator $[x_1, y_1] = x_1 y_1 - y_1 x_1$, and anti-commutator $x_1 \circ y_1 = x_1 y_1 + y_1 x_1$. The basic commutator identities are used at large scale (i) $[x_1, y_1 z_1] = [x_1, y_1] z_1 + y_1 [x_1, z_1]$, (ii) $[x_1 y_1, z_1] = [x_1, z_1] y_1 + x_1 [y_1, z_1]$ and anti-commutator identities (i) $(x_1 \circ y_1 z_1) = (x_1 \circ y_1) z_1 - y_1 [x_1, z_1]$, (ii) $(x_1 y_1 \circ z_1) = (x_1 \circ z_1) y_1 - x_1 [y_1, z_1]$. Derivation indicates the additive mapping $d: R_1 \rightarrow R_1$ satisfying $d(x_1 y_1) = d(x_1) y_1 + x_1 d(y_1)$, for all $x_1, y_1 \in R_1$. A derivation d is inner if $\exists a \in R_1$ in the sense that $d_a(x_1) = [a, x_1]$, $\forall x_1 \in R_1$. A map H is said to be a left multiplier if it satisfies $H(x_1 y_1) = H(x_1) y_1$ for all $x_1, y_1 \in R_1$ [7, 10]. The researchers amplify the former definition by defining a map $F: R_1 \rightarrow R_1$ called a generalized multiplicative derivation if $F(x_1 y_1) = F(x_1) y_1 + x_1 d(y_1)$, holds for $x_1, y_1 \in R_1$. Furthermore, generalized multiplicative derivation with $d \neq 0$, prevails the requirement of multiplier In recent era many researchers have attained commutativity of prime and semi-prime rings by additive mappings, as automorphism derivation, skew derivation and generalized commutative derivation acting on appropriate subsets of rings. First we should keep in mind that $S \subseteq R_1$, a mapping $f: S \rightarrow R_1$, is called centralizing if $[f(x_1), x_1] \in Z(R_1)$, $\forall x_1 \in S$. In this particular scenario, where $[f(x_1), x_1] = 0$, $\forall x_1 \in S$ and claimed that the mapping is a commutative on S . In [10] Posner justified if a prime ring R_1 admits a nonzero derivation d , and $[d(x_1), x_1] \in Z(R_1)$, $\forall x_1 \in R_1$, then R_1 is commutative. In the last few years many researchers has purified augmented results in [2, 7, 8, 9].

In the literature [2, 3, 5, 7] a number of authors have investigated the commutativity of prime and semi-prime rings that meet specific functional identities that require derivation. In [1] authors studied the following circumstances: (i) $F(x_1 y_1) \in Z(R_1)$, (ii) $F([x_1, y_1]) \in Z(R_1)$, (iii) $F(x_1 y_1) \pm [x_1, y_1] \in Z(R_1)$ and (iv) $F(x_1 y_1) \pm y_1 x_1 \in Z(R_1)$ in case of

non-zero left ideal of semi-prime ring R_1 for all $x_1, y_1 \in R_1$. Recently, Dhara et.al. [7] studied the commutativity in semi-prime ring with left ideal and multiplicative generalized derivation. Very recently Faiza Shujat, Shahoor khan and Abu Zaid Ansari study the following conditions: Assume that R_1 is a semi-prime ring, $F: R_1 \rightarrow R_1$ be a generalized multiplicative derivation associated to derivation d and the map $H: R_1 \rightarrow R_1$ where H be a multiplicative left centralizer such that (i) $F(x_1y_1) \pm H[x_1, y_1] \in Z(R_1)$, (ii) $F[x_1, y_1] \pm H[x_1, y_1] \in Z(R_1)$, (iii) $F(x_1)F(y_1) \pm H[x_1, y_1] \in Z(R_1)$, (iv) $F(x_1)F(y_1) \pm H(x_1y_1) \in Z(R_1)$, (v) $H(x_1)F(y_1) \pm (x_1 \circ y_1) \in Z(R_1)$, (vi) $[F(x_1), H(y_1)] \in Z(R_1)$, and (vii) $(F(x_1) \circ H(y_1)) \in Z(R_1)$. The current article investigates the commutativity of quotient ring by considering some identities containing F and left multiplier.

Main Results

Lemma 1.1. R_1 represent a ring containing prime ideal P_1 . If F is a multiplicative generalized derivation of R_1 associated d such that $F(x_1y_1) \in P_1$, for all $x_1, y_1 \in R_1$, then R_1/P_1 is cumulative integral domain.

Proof- Consider,

$$F(x_1y_1) \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1, \in R_1. \quad (1.1)$$

Replacing y_1z_1 in the place if y_1 we have,

$$F(x_1y_1z_1) = F(x_1y_1)z_1 + x_1y_1d(z_1) \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1, z_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.2)$$

We get,

$$[x_1y_1d(z_1), z_1] \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1, z_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.3)$$

We obtain,

$$[x_1, z_1]y_1d(z_1) \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1, z_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.4)$$

This implies,

$$[x_1, z_1]R_1d(z_1) \subseteq P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1, \in R_1. \quad (1.5)$$

Since P_1 is a prime ideal, then for all $x_1, y_1, z_1 \in R_1$, either $[x_1, z_1] \in P_1$, With $R_1 = \{x_1 \in R_1 / [x_1, z_1] \in P_1, \text{ for all } z_1 \in R_1\}$. In which R_1/P_1 is commutative integral domain.

LEMMA 1.2. R_1 represent a ring containing prime ideal P_1 . If F is a multiplicative generalized derivation of R_1 associated with d such that $F[x_1, y_1] \in P_1$, for all $x_1, y_1 \in R_1$, then R_1/P_1 is cumulative integral domain.

Proof- Assert that,

$$F[x_1, y_1] \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.11)$$

Replacing y_1r in the place if y_1 we have,

$$F([x_1, y_1])r + [x_1, y_1]d(r) \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1, r \in R_1. \quad (1.12)$$

This gives,

$$[x_1, y_1]d(r) \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1, r \in R_1. \quad (1.13)$$

We replace y_1z_1 in the place if y_1 we get,

$$[x_1, y_1]z_1d(r) \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1, z_1, r \in R_1. \quad (1.14)$$

This implies,

$$[x_1, y_1]R_1d(r) \subseteq P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1, z_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.15)$$

Since P_1 is a prime ideal, then for all $x_1, y_1, z_1 \in R_1$, $[x_1, y_1] \in P_1$, $R_1 = \{x_1 \in R_1 / [x_1, y_1] \in P_1, \text{ for all } y_1 \in R_1\}$. In which R_1/P_1 is commutative integral domain.

Theorem 1.1. R_1 represents a ring containing prime ideal P_1 . if F be a multiplicative generalized derivation and H be a multiplier such that, $F[x_1, y_1] \pm H[x_1, y_1] \in P_1$, for all $x_1, y_1 \in R_1$, then R_1/P_1 is a commutative integral domain.

Proof. Assert that,

$$F[x_1, y_1] \pm H[x_1, y_1] \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.6)$$

We replace y_1 by y_1x_1 in (1.6) we have,

$$F[x_1, y_1]x_1 + [x_1, y_1]d(x_1) \pm H(x_1y_1) x_1 \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.7)$$

This gives,

$$[x_1, y_1] d(x_1) \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.8)$$

Substituting y_1r in the place y_1 we obtain

$$[x_1, y_1] rd(x_1) \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1, r \in R_1. \quad (1.9)$$

We have,

$$[x_1, y_1] R_1d(r) \subseteq P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1, r \in R_1. \quad (1.10)$$

Since P_1 is a prime ideal, then for all $x_1, y_1, z_1 \in R_1, [x_1, y_1] \in P_1, R_1 = \{x_1 \in R_1 / [x_1, y_1] \in P_1, \text{ for all } y_1 \in R_1\}$. In which R_1/P_1 , is a commutative integral domain.

Theorem 1.2. R_1 represents a ring containing prime ideal P_1 . if F be a multiplicative generalized derivation and H be a left multiplier such that, $F[x_1, y_1] \pm H[x_1, y_1] \in P_1$, for all $x_1, y_1, \in R_1$, then R_1/P_1 is a commutative integral domain.

Proof. If $H=0$, then we have $F[x_1, y_1] \in P_1$, for all $x_1, y_1 \in R_1$, hence conclusion follow from lemma (1.2), let us consider $H \neq 0$,

$$F[x_1, y_1] \pm H[x_1, y_1] \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.16)$$

Replace y_1 by y_1x_1 in above we get,

$$F[x_1, y_1]x_1 + [x_1, y_1] d(x_1) \pm H[x_1, y_1]x_1 \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.17)$$

We obtain,

$$[x_1, y_1] d(x_1) \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.18)$$

Put y_1r in the place y_1 we obtain,

$$[x_1, y_1] rd(x_1) \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1, \in R_1. \quad (1.19)$$

This gives,

$$[x_1, y_1] R_1d(x_1) \subseteq P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.20)$$

Since P_1 is a prime ideal, then for all $x_1, y_1, z_1 \in R_1, [x_1, y_1] \in P_1$, with $R_1 = \{x_1 \in R_1 / [x_1, y_1] \in P_1, \text{ for all } y_1 \in R_1\}$. In which R_1/P_1 , is a commutative integral domain.

Theorem 1.3. R_1 represents a ring containing prime ideal P_1 . If F be a multiplicative generalized derivation and H be a left multiplier such that $F(x_1) F(y_1) \pm H(x_1y_1) \in P_1$. for all $x_1, y_1 \in R_1$ then R_1/P_1 is a commutative integral domain.

Proof. We assume that,

$$F(x_1) F(y_1) \pm H(x_1y_1) \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.21)$$

We replace y_1z_1 in the place of y_1 we have,

$$F(x_1)F(y_1)z_1 + F(x_1)y_1d(z_1) \pm H(x_1y_1)z_1 \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1, z_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.22)$$

We get,

$$[F(x_1) y_1d(z_1), z_1] \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1, z_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.23)$$

This gives,

$$F(x_1) y_1d(z_1), z_1] \in P_1. \text{ for all } x_1, y_1, z_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.24)$$

Replacing r in the place of $d(z_1)$ we obtain,

$$F(x_1) R_1[r, z_1] \in P_1. \text{ for all } x_1, y_1, z_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.25)$$

Then either $F(x_1) \in P_1$ or $[r, z_1] \in P_1$, above given $F(x_1) \in P_1$, for all $x_1 \in P_1$,

we replace x_1y_1 in the place of x_1 we have,

$$F(x_1)y_1 + x_1 d(y_1) \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.26)$$

We arrive at,

$$[x_1d(y_1), y_1] \in P_1 \text{ for all } x_1, y_1, \in R_1. \quad (1.27)$$

Replace x_1r in the place x_1 this gives,

$$[x_1, y_1]rd(y_1) \in P_1. \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.28)$$

We have,

$$[x_1, y_1] R_1 d(y_1) \subseteq P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.29)$$

Since P_1 is a prime ideal then for all $x_1, y_1, z_1 \in R_1$, either $[x_1, y_1] \in P_1$.

with $M = \{x_1 \in R_1 / [x_1, y_1] \in P_1, \text{ for all } y_1 \in R_1\}$. In which R_1/P_1 , is commutative integral domain.

Theorem 1.4. R_1 represents a ring containing prime ideal P_1 . F be an multiplicative generalized derivation of R_1 associated d . H be left multiplier such that $F(x_1)F(y_1) \pm H, [x_1, y_1] \in P_1$, for all $x_1, y_1 \in R_1$ then R_1/P_1 is commutative integral domain.

Proof. Assert that,

$$F(x_1)F(y_1) \pm H[x_1, y_1] \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.30)$$

We put $y_1 x_1$ in the place y_1 we obtain,

$$F(x_1)F(y_1)x_1 + F(x_1)y_1 d(x_1) \pm H([x_1, y_1]) x_1 \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.31)$$

This implies,

$$[F(x_1)y_1 d(x_1), x_1] \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.32)$$

We have,

$$F(x_1)y_1[d(x_1), x_1] \in P_1 \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.33)$$

Using theorem (1.5), R_1/P_1 is commutative integral domain.

Theorem 1.5. R_1 represents a ring containing prime ideal P_1 . F be a multiplicative generalized derivation of R_1 associated d . H be left multiplier such that $H(x_1) F(y_1) \pm (x_1 \circ y_1) \in P_1$, for all $x_1, y_1 \in R_1$, then

(i) $H \subseteq P_1$, (ii) R_1/P_1 is commutative integral domain.

Proof. Assert that,

$$H(x_1)F(y_1) \pm (x_1 \circ y_1) \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.34)$$

Replacing $y_1 x_1$ in the place of y_1 we obtain,

$$H(x_1)F(y_1)x_1 + H(x_1)y_1 d(x_1) \pm (x_1 \circ y_1)x_1 \pm y_1[x_1, x_1] \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.35)$$

We get,

$$[H(x_1)y_1 d(x_1), x_1] \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.36)$$

This gives,

$$H(x_1)y_1[d(x_1), x_1] \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.37)$$

We arrive at,

$$H(x_1) R_1[d(x_1), x_1] \subseteq P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.38)$$

Since P_1 is a prime ideal then either $H \subseteq P_1$, or $[d(x_1), x_1] \in P_1$, this implies that

$M = R_1 \cup R_2$ with $R_1 = \{x_1 \in R_1 / [d(x_1), x_1] \in P_1\}$ and $R_2 = \{x_1 \in R_2 / H(x_1) \in P_1\}$, Since a group cannot be union of its subgroup then $M = R_1$ in which case R_1/P_1 is commutative integral domain. In the other case $H(R_2) \subseteq P_1$.

Theorem 1.6. R_1 represents a ring containing prime ideal P_1 . F be a multiplicative generalized derivation of R_1 associated d . H be left multiplier such that

$$[F(x_1), H(y_1)] \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1, \text{ then}$$

(i) $H \subseteq P_1$, (ii) R_1/P_1 is commutative integral domain.

Proof. Assert that,

$$[F(x_1), H(y_1)] \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.39)$$

Replacing $y_1 z_1$ in the place of y_1 we have,

$$[F(x_1), H(y_1)z_1] \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1, z_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.40)$$

We get

$$H(y_1) [F(x_1), z_1] \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1, z_1 \in R_1 \quad (1.41)$$

We put $y_1 r$ in the place of y_1 we have,

$$H(y_1)r[F(x_1), z_1] \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1, z_1, r \in R_2. \quad (1.42)$$

We arrive at,

$$H(y_1) R_1[F(x_1), z_1] \subseteq P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1, z_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.43)$$

Since P_1 is a prime ideal so either $H(R_1) \subseteq P_1$, or $(F(x_1), z_1] \in P_1$, which implies that $M = R_1 \cup R_2$, with $R_1 = \{x_1 \in R_1/H(x_1) \in P_1\}$ and $R_2 = \{x_1 \in R_1/[F(x_1), z_1] \text{ for all } z_1 \in R_1\}$,

since a group cannot be union of its subgroups then $M = R_1$ in which case $H \subseteq P_1$, and $R_2 = \{x_1 \in R_1/[F(x_1), z_1] \text{ for all } z_1 \in R_1\}$. Put x_1r in the place of x_1 we have, $[x_1d(r), z_1] \in P_1$, for all $x_1, r, y_1 \in R_1$. (1.44)

Replacing x_1y_1 in the place of x_1 we have $[x_1, z_1]y_1d(r) \in P_1$, then by theorem (1.3) we have R_1/P_1 is commutative integral domain.

Theorem 1.7. R_1 represents a ring containing prime ideal P_1 . F be a multiplicative generalized derivation of R_1 associated d . H be left multiplier such that $F(x_1) \circ H(y_1) \in P_1$, for all $x_1, y_1 \in R_1$, then

(i) $H \subseteq P_1$, (ii) R_1/P_1 is commutative integral domain.

Proof. Assert that,

$$F(x_1) \circ H(y_1) \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.45)$$

Replacing in the y_1z_1 place of y_1 we have,

$$F(x_1) \circ H(y_1) z_1 \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.46)$$

This implies,

$$H(y_1) [F(x_1), z_1] \in P_1, \text{ for all } x_1, y_1, z_1 \in R_1. \quad (1.47)$$

Using (1.6) theorem that,

(i) $H \subseteq P$, (ii) R_1/P_1 is commutative integral domain.

References

- [1] Ali A., Filippis V. D., Shujat F., on one sided ideal of semi-prime ring with generalized derivation, Aequat. Math., 85, 529-537, (2013).
- [2] Ali S., Fosner A., On Jordan $(\alpha, \beta)^*$ -derivations in semiprime $*$ -rings, Int. J. Algebra, 4(3), 99-108, (2010).
- [3] Ali S., Salahuddin Khan M., On $*$ -bi-multipliers, Generalized $*$ -bi-multipliers, and related mappings, Kyungpook Math. J. 51(3). 301-309, (2011).
- [4] Ashraf M., Rehman N., On Lie ideals and Jordan left derivations of prime rings, Arch. Math. (Brno) 36- 201-206, (2000).
- [5] Ashraf M., Rehman N., Ali s., On Jordan left derivations of Lie ideals in prime rings, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 25(3), 379-382, (2001).
- [6] Bresar M., Centralizing mappings and derivations in prime rings, J.Algebra 156, 385-394, (1993).
- [7] Bresar M., Jordan mappings of semiprime rings, J. Algebra 127, 218-228, (1989).
- [8] Bresar M., Vukman J., On some additive mappings in rings with involution, VAequ. Math. 38, 178-185, (1989).
- [9] Bresar M., Vukman J, On left derivations and related mappings, Proc.Am. Math. Soc. 110(2), 291-294, (1990).
- [10] Bresar M., On the distance of the composition of two derivation to the generalized derivations, Glasgow. Math. J., 33, 89-93, (1991).
- [11] Camci D.k., Aydin N., On multiplicative (generalized) derivation in semi-prime rings, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. AI Math. Stat..., 66, 153-164, (2017).
- [12] Daif M.N., Tammam El-Sayiad M.S., Multiplicative generalization derivations which are additive, East-west. J. Math. Math., 9, 31-37, (1997).
- [13] Dait M.N.. When is a multiplicative derivation is additive, In. J. Math, Math. Sci., 14, 615- 618, (1991).
- [14] Dhara B., Ali S., On multiplicative (generalized)-derivations in prime and semi-prime rings, Aequat. Math., 86. 65-79, (2013) .
- [15] Fosner M., Ilisevic D., On Jordan triple derivations and related mappings. Mediterr. J. Math. 5, 415-

427, (2008).

[16] Havala B., Generalized derivations in rings. *Comm. Algebra.*, 26, 1147-1166, (1998).

[17] Herstein I N., *Rings with Involution*, university of Chicago Press, Chicago. (1976).

[18] Ilisevic D., Quadratic functionals on modules over $*$ -rings. *Studia Sci .Math. Hung.*, 42, 95-105, (2005).

[19] Maksa G., A remark on symmetric biadditive functions having nonnegative diagonalization, *Glasnik Math.*, 15(35), 279-282, (1980).

[20] MaksaG., On traces of symmetric biderivations, *C.R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Can.*, 9, 303-307, (1987).

[21] Poser E.C., Derivations in prime rings, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 8, 1093-1100, (1957).

[22] Semrl P. Quadratic functionals and Jordan $*$ -derivations, *Studia Math.*, 97, 157-165, (1991).

[23] Vukman J., A result concerning additive functions in hermitian Ba-nach $*$ -algebras and an application, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 91, 367-372, (1984).

[24] Vukman J., Jordan left derivations on semiprime rings, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, 39, 1-6, (1997).

[25] Vukman J., On left Jordan derivations of ring and Banach algebras, *Aequ. Math.*, 75, 260 -266, (2008).

[26]] Vukman J., some results concerning the Chauchy functional equation in certain Banach algebras, *Bull Aust. Math. Soc.*, 31 137-144, (1985).

[27] Vukman J., Some functional equations in Bannch algebras and an application, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 100, 133-136, (1987),

[28] Zalar B..On centralizers of semi-prime ring, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carol.*, 32. 609-614, (1991).